UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,394	11/10/2005	Hiroyuki Hamada	2005_1753A	6465
	7590 07/09/200 , LIND & PONACK, I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W.,			EOM, ROBERT J	
Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/556,394	HAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROBERT EOM	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of the provision of t	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO III, by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	b) This action is non-final.  or allowance except for formal ma	-			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,10-17,23  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 6-9,18-22,32-34 and 41-43 is  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the	3-31,35-40,44 and 45 is/are withous/are rejected.  on and/or election requirement.	rawn from consideration.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the sheet of the third that are the sheet of the sheet	2005 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyone correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/2005.	O-948) Paper No	y Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date i Informal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/556,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II, claims 6-9, 18-22, 32-34, and 41-43 in the reply filed on 05/20/2009 is acknowledged.
- 2. Applicant's election of Invention II in the reply filed on 05/20/2009 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 1-5, 10-17, 23-31, 35-40, 44, and 45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 05/20/2009.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 6-9 disclose a process that does nothing more than to solve mathematical problems (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup> computation step, 2<sup>nd</sup> computation step, and ratio calculated). If the "acts" of a claimed process manipulate only numbers, abstract concepts or ideas, or signals representing any of the foregoing, the acts are not being applied to appropriate subject matter. Gottschalk v. Benson, 409

Application/Control Number: 10/556,394

Art Unit: 1797

U.S. 63, 71 - 72, 175 USPQ 673, 676 (1972). Thus, a process consisting solely of mathematical operations, i.e., converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers, does not manipulate appropriate subject matter and thus cannot constitute a statutory process, see: MPEP 2106.02.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to particular machine, or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing. See page 10 of *In Re* Bilski 88 USPQ2d 1385. The instant claims are neither positively tied to a particular machine that accomplishes the claimed method steps nor transform underlying subject matter, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. The claimed method is broad enough that the process could be completely performed mentally, verbally or without a machine nor is any transformation apparent.
- 7. Claims 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs, are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, see: MPEP 2106.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,394 Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al. (US 2002/0075227 A1).

Regarding claims 18-22, Miller discloses a graphing calculator (Fig. 1) with a screen (11). Regarding limitations recited in claims 118-22 which are directed to a manner of operating the computation unit, it is noted that neither the manner of operating a disclosed device nor material or article worked upon further limit an apparatus claim. Said limitations do not differentiate apparatus claims from prior art. See MPEP § 2114 and 2115. Further, it has been held that process limitations do not have patentable weight in an apparatus claim. See Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969) that states "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof and to an intended operation are of no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim."

Art Unit: 1797

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ROBERT EOM whose telephone number is (571)270-

7075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 9:00am-5:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tony G Soohoo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/R. E./

Examiner, Art Unit 1797